

**PT CIPTA PERSADA MULIA**

**PUBLIC DUE DILIGENCE REPORT**

**Responsible Minerals Sourcing Due Diligence Management System**

| Document Control Item | Information                                                                                                                                |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Document Title        | Public Due Diligence Report Responsible Minerals Sourcing Due Diligence Management System                                                  |
| Document Code         | PDD-RMAP-001                                                                                                                               |
| Revision              | 00                                                                                                                                         |
| Reporting Period      | 10 March - 20 August 2026                                                                                                                  |
| Facility              | PT Cipta Persada Mulia (PT CPM)                                                                                                            |
| Mineral Scope         | Tin-bearing primary material and tin products within PT CPM RMAP assessment scope                                                          |
| Prepared by           | Muhammad Abdi Baihaqi as Coordinator RMAP                                                                                                  |
| Reviewed by           | Robin as Senior Manager                                                                                                                    |
| Approved by           | Darwis Leonardo Fensury as Director                                                                                                        |
| Publication Status    | Published<br>Link: <a href="https://ciptapersadamulia.co.id/responsibleminerals/">https://ciptapersadamulia.co.id/responsibleminerals/</a> |

## Table of Contents

| Section | Title                                               |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 1       | Executive Summary                                   |
| 2       | Company Profile and Supply Chain Scope              |
| 3       | Responsible Minerals Supply Chain Policy            |
| 4       | Governance and Management System                    |
| 5       | Due Diligence Framework                             |
| 6       | Supply Chain Description and Traceability           |
| 7       | CAHRA Identification Process                        |
| 8       | CAHRA Assessment Methodology                        |
| 9       | Red Flag Review                                     |
| 10      | Plausibility Assessment and Material Reconciliation |
| 11      | Enhanced Due Diligence                              |
| 12      | Risk Management and Mitigation                      |
| 13      | Grievance and Whistleblowing Mechanism              |
| 14      | Government Auction Material                         |
| 15      | Secondary or Recycled Material                      |
| 16      | Due Diligence Activity Summary                      |
| 17      | CAHRA and Source Risk Summary                       |
| 18      | Red Flag, EDD, and RMP Summary                      |
| 19      | Corrective Action and Continual Improvement         |
| 20      | Training and Communication                          |
| 21      | Management Review                                   |
| 22      | Public Reporting and Confidentiality                |
| 23      | Limitations and Forward-Looking Improvements        |
| 24      | Approval                                            |
| 25      | Contact and Grievance Channel                       |

## 1. Executive Summary

PT Cipta Persada Mulia (“PT CPM”), RMI Smelter Identification CID002696, is an Indonesian tin smelting and refining facility participating in the Responsible Minerals Assurance Process (RMAP). Located in Jl. Brigjen Katamso km 6 No 9 RT 005/ RW 001 Tanjung Uncang Batu Aji Batam Indonesia.

PT CPM underwent its most recent RMAP re-assessment on 17–18 April 2026, conducted by SCS Global Services, covering the assessment period from 1 September 2023 to 10 March 2026. The assessment evaluated PT CPM’s responsible minerals management system and its implementation against the applicable RMAP requirements for tin and the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas.

The 2026 re-assessment was led by Febryan Mujahid Panatagama, with Catarina Oliveira supporting the assessment team. Following completion of the on-site assessment, PT CPM entered the Corrective Action Plan (CAP1) process to address findings identified during the assessment.

During the CAP period, PT CPM has undertaken a series of corrective and continuous improvement measures to strengthen its responsible minerals management system. These improvements include responsible sourcing governance, CAHRA identification, KYC/KYS and beneficial ownership verification, red flag review, enhanced due diligence, risk management, plausibility assessment, chain-of-custody controls, material receiving controls, mass balance and inventory reconciliation, grievance mechanisms, management review, and public due diligence reporting.

At the time of publication of this report, the 2026 RMAP re-assessment remains within the CAP1 process, and the final assessment outcome is therefore subject to completion and review of the applicable corrective actions and supporting evidence.

## 2. Company Profile and Supply Chain Scope

PT CPM operates in the tin supply chain and processes tin-bearing material. The primary supply model is based on material originating from PT CPM own mining concession area(s), with third-party mining service contractors or KSO operators acting as operational service providers under PT CPM control and contractual arrangements.

Mining service contractors operating within PT CPM own IUP are not treated as mineral suppliers when they do not own or sell the mineral to PT CPM. They are treated as service providers and relevant counterparties that may affect chain of custody, operational integrity, and supply chain risk.

| In-Scope Area                     | Description                                                                                                                |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Own IUP / mining concession areas | Origin-level due diligence, CAHRA determination, source risk review, plausibility assessment, and material reconciliation. |
| Mining service contractor / KSO   | Counterparty due diligence, contractual RMAP clauses, audit access, operational integrity, and chain-of-custody control.   |

|                                     |                                                                                                                        |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Transport / logistics               | Dispatch, route, handover, seal, vehicle/vessel, weighbridge, receiving, and route deviation control.                  |
| Smelting and internal processing    | Receiving, stockpile, lot, sampling, assay, processing, mass balance, and reconciliation controls.                     |
| Government auction material         | Only if applicable; prior legal title review, origin review, CoC review, red flag review, and management approval.     |
| Potential future external suppliers | Full KYC/KYS, origin determination, CAHRA assessment, red flag review, EDD where required, and contractual compliance. |

### 3. Responsible Minerals Supply Chain Policy

PT CPM has adopted a Responsible Minerals Supply Chain Policy that sets out the Company commitment to responsible sourcing, OECD-aligned due diligence, and RMAP requirements. The policy applies to PT CPM tin supply chain and relevant counterparties, including internal functions, mining service contractors, transporters, warehouse operators, intermediaries, and potential external suppliers.

- Avoid contributing to conflict, serious human rights abuses, forced labor, worst forms of child labor, money laundering, corruption, fraudulent misrepresentation of origin, illegal taxation, or direct or indirect support to non-state armed groups;
- Implement a risk-based due diligence system;
- Identify CAHRAs and red flags;
- Conduct EDD where required;
- Develop measurable risk management plans;
- Communicate requirements to relevant counterparties;
- Maintain records and audit trails;
- Conduct periodic review and management oversight;
- Publish due diligence information that is appropriate and non-confidential.

PT CPM avoids absolute claims such as "conflict-free" unless such claims are supported by verified evidence and are permitted by the applicable reporting context. The Company reports on the due diligence process, evidence reviewed, risks identified, and actions taken.

### 4. Governance and Management System

PT CPM has established an internal management structure to implement responsible minerals due diligence. The management system assigns accountability, defines responsibilities, preserves records, and enables escalation of material risks.

| Function          | Main Responsibility                                                  |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Director / Senior | Approves strategic risk decisions, suspension, disengagement, public |

|                               |                                                                                                                   |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Management                    | reporting, and major system improvements.                                                                         |
| Senior Manager RMAP           | Owns and oversees the RMAP due diligence system, CAHRA Register, EDD, risk management, and management review.     |
| RMAP Coordinator              | Coordinates data collection, evidence tracking, due diligence documentation, training records, and CAP follow-up. |
| Mining / KTT / Head of Mining | Provides IUP, mining, production, contractor, location, and plausibility data.                                    |
| Production / Smelter          | Provides receiving, stockpile, lot, processing, reconciliation, and mass balance records.                         |
| Legal / Permit                | Verifies legal status, contracts, sanctions, legal title, auction materials, and regulatory matters.              |
| Finance                       | Verifies payments, taxes, royalties, official fees, and financial red flags.                                      |
| EXIM / Logistics              | Provides transport, dispatch, shipment, and chain-of-custody documentation.                                       |
| HSE / Security                | Provides local security, incident, community, and operational-context information.                                |
| Document Control              | Maintains controlled records, retention, access, version control, and retrieval.                                  |

## 5. Due Diligence Framework

- PT CPM due diligence system follows the OECD five-step framework:
- Establish strong company management systems;
- Identify and assess risks in the supply chain;
- Design and implement a strategy to respond to identified risks;
- Support and cooperate with independent third-party audits, where applicable;
- Report annually on supply chain due diligence.

For RMAP purposes, records are maintained through a structured link between Due Diligence Report (Report No.), origin mapping, source reference log, CAHRA assessment, red flag review, EDD, RMP, mitigation monitoring, management review, and public reporting.

## 6. Supply Chain Description and Traceability

PT CPM traceability process is designed to allow the Company to trace material from source to processing and, where relevant, to finished product. The Company uses source-level assessments supported by dynamic operational registers.

| Traceability Element | Minimum Record / Evidence                                               |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Origin               | IUP/concession identification, pit, mining area, stockpile, coordinates |

|                       |                                                                                                  |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                       | where available.                                                                                 |
| Mining activity       | Mining contractor/KSO records, production logs, equipment or capacity data, mining reports.      |
| Dispatch              | Dispatch register, delivery order, loading records, seal or handover records, route information. |
| Transport             | Vehicle/vessel ID, driver/crew where applicable, route record, transit point, deviation log.     |
| Receiving             | Weighbridge ticket, receiving record, stockpile allocation, date/time, receiving officer.        |
| Quality and identity  | Sampling record, assay/CoA, grade, moisture where relevant, material identity records.           |
| Processing            | Lot records, production records, mass balance, material reconciliation.                          |
| Due diligence linkage | Report No, red flag review, EDD/RMP records, approval memo.                                      |

PT CPM does not need to create a new CAHRA form for each delivery if the source, risk profile, route, and operating model remain unchanged. Each delivery is captured in operational registers and linked to the relevant Assessment Report Number.

| Report No.             | Source                          | CAHRA | Red Flag | EDD | Status   |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|----------|-----|----------|
| RPT-RMAP-RISK-2026-001 | IUP NO<br>81200121122<br>260010 | No    | No       | No  | Approved |
| RPT-RMAP-RISK-2026-002 | IUP<br>81200121122<br>260014    | No    | No       | No  | Approved |
| RPT-RMAP-RISK-2026-003 | IUP<br>81200121122<br>260011    | No    | No       | No  | Approved |
| RPT-RMAP-RISK-2026-004 | Auction                         | No    | No       | No  | Approved |

## 7. CAHRA Identification Process

PT CPM conducts CAHRA assessment for all active material sources and relevant routes through annual review, new source review, new vendor review, government auction review, event-driven review, and red-flag-triggered review.

- a. Annual review for all active sources, IUPs, transit routes, KSO vendors, transport vendors, and special mechanisms;
- b. New source or new vendor review before acceptance;
- c. Government auction review before participation or acceptance;
- d. Event-driven review following changes in conflict, sanctions, adverse media, incidents, grievance, WBS reports, or material origin documents;
- e. Immediate red flag review following document inconsistency, abnormal volume, unclear origin, material mixing, fraud, or illegal mining indication.
- f. Source references must include the source/URL, access date, index year, summary of findings, reviewer name, and evidence snapshot or PDF record.

## 8. CAHRA Assessment Methodology

PT CPM applies a risk-based scoring methodology using five equally weighted risk categories. The score is a decision-support tool and does not override credible critical indicators.

| Risk Category                    | Weight | Score 1 – Low                                           | Score 3 - Medium                                                 | Score 5 - High/Critical                                                                             |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Conflict and Security            | 20%    | No active conflict; area stable.                        | Localized tension or controlled incidents.                       | Active armed conflict, widespread violence, insurgency, or unsafe area/route.                       |
| Governance and Corruption        | 20%    | Effective institutions and controlled corruption.       | Governance weakness or moderate corruption requiring monitoring. | Extreme institutional weakness, systemic corruption, or failed enforcement.                         |
| Human Rights                     | 20%    | No indication of serious human rights abuses.           | Localized concerns requiring verification.                       | Serious or widespread abuses, forced labor, worst forms of child labor, widespread sexual violence. |
| Financial / Sanctions / Legality | 20%    | No material sanctions or financial crime concern.       | AML/tax/royalty issue requiring clarification.                   | International sanctions, money laundering, terrorist financing, or material illegal payments.       |
| Local Context and Plausibility   | 20%    | Area and production volume are plausible; CoC complete. | Minor anomalies that can be explained and reconciled.            | Armed actor/illegal mining, material mixing, unclear origin, falsified CoC, or                      |

|  |  |  |  |                     |
|--|--|--|--|---------------------|
|  |  |  |  | implausible volume. |
|--|--|--|--|---------------------|

| Composite Score | Initial Classification |
|-----------------|------------------------|
| <2.0            | Non-CAHRA / Low        |
| 2.0-3.9         | Watchlist / Medium     |
| >=4.0           | CAHRA / High-Risk      |

Critical override rule: one credible material indicator may override the composite score and require CAHRA/high-risk classification and EDD. Examples include active armed conflict, serious human rights abuses, support to non-state armed groups, applicable sanctions, material outside approved IUP, mixing or substitution, falsified CoC documents, illegal mining, or materially implausible production volume.

## 9. Red Flag Review

After CAHRA determination, PT CPM conducts red flag review to determine source risk level. Red flag review applies to all primary material, including own-IUP material, government auction material, and potential future external suppliers.

| Red Flag Area                  | Examples                                                                                            | Minimum Follow-up                                                              |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Origin / transit               | Material originates from or transits through CAHRA/high-risk area.                                  | EDD and supply chain mapping.                                                  |
| Production mismatch            | Volume is inconsistent with known reserves, capacity, grade, or historical production.              | Plausibility assessment and reconciliation.                                    |
| Document inconsistency         | Mismatch among DO, invoice, CoA, weighbridge, receiving, dates, lot, route, or origin.              | Hold material if material, investigate, and obtain approval before processing. |
| Counterparty relationship risk | Supplier, vendor, BO, or intermediary linked to red flag location or sanctioned entity.             | KYC/KYS, BO screening, sanctions and adverse media review.                     |
| Local risk                     | Illegal mining, security disturbance, unofficial payments, grievance, WBS report, or adverse media. | Local context review, escalation, and RMP/EDD as required.                     |

## 10. Plausibility Assessment and Material Reconciliation

PT CPM conducts plausibility assessment to determine whether the claimed origin, production

capacity, transport route, volume, grade, and material movement are reasonable and supported by evidence.

- a. Production capacity, mining plan, historical production, equipment/KIP capability, operating hours, grade, and recovery;
- b. Dispatch records, transport records, weighbridge records, receiving records, stockpile records, sampling and assay data;
- c. Mass balance, material reconciliation, and investigation of unreasonable gain/loss;
- d. Review of sudden jumps in supply, export greater than production, geographic inconsistencies, material substitution risk, or suspected mineral laundering.

Unreasonable gain/loss, unusual volume, or unexplained discrepancy triggers discrepancy investigation and risk escalation according to severity.

## 11. Enhanced Due Diligence

Enhanced Due Diligence (EDD) is conducted when a source is classified as high risk or when red flags indicate that additional investigation is required. EDD must be completed or appropriately controlled before material continues through the process where the risk may affect origin integrity, legality, or Annex II risk exposure.

- a. Detailed supply chain mapping and factual circumstance mapping;
- b. Chain-of-custody clarification and document authenticity review;
- c. Additional KYC/KYS and beneficial ownership screening;
- d. Sanctions, adverse media, legal, tax, royalty, and official payment review;
- e. Plausibility assessment and discrepancy investigation;
- f. Interviews, clarification, site visit, or off-shore verification where appropriate;
- g. Annex II risk assessment and management recommendation.

## 12. Risk Management and Mitigation

Where risks are identified and can be mitigated, PT CPM develops a Risk Management Plan (RMP) with measurable actions, PIC, due date, required evidence, monitoring frequency, closure criteria, and residual risk review.

| Risk Type                                            | Minimum Response                                                                                                      |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Serious human rights abuses                          | Immediate suspension or disengagement while investigation, evidence preservation, and legal escalation are conducted. |
| Direct or indirect support to non-state armed groups | Immediate suspension or disengagement; do not continue transaction unless risk is demonstrated not to exist.          |
| Illegal support to public or private security forces | Risk management plan, leverage, monitoring, and suspension if mitigation fails.                                       |

|                                                         |                                                                                                |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bribery, fraudulent misrepresentation, money laundering | Investigation, enhanced verification, RMP, hold material, or suspension depending on severity. |
| Non-payment of taxes, fees, or royalties                | Clarification, RMP, and rejection if unresolved.                                               |

If adequate measurable improvement is not achieved within the defined timeline, PT CPM escalates the matter to Senior Management and may suspend or disengage from the relevant source, contractor, supplier, or transaction.

### 13. Grievance and Whistleblowing Mechanism

PT CPM maintains grievance and whistleblowing mechanisms to receive, review, and respond to concerns related to responsible sourcing. Concerns may relate to illegal mining, material mixing or substitution, document falsification, corruption, human rights concerns, security incidents, labor exploitation, supply chain misconduct, retaliation, or other responsible sourcing risks.

Where a grievance or whistleblowing report relates to mineral origin, chain of custody, red flag, or Annex II risk, it triggers red flag review, EDD, or risk management as appropriate. Confidentiality and non-retaliation protections are applied in accordance with PT CPM grievance and WBS procedures. The company provided public grievance mechanism reporting in the following portal: <https://ciptapersadamulia.co.id/whistleblowing-system/>

### 14. Government Auction Material

PT CPM does not treat government auction material as automatically low risk. Before accepting any government auction material, PT CPM requires legal title review, origin review, chain-of-custody review, material identity verification, volume and grade plausibility review, sanctions and adverse media screening, red flag review, Senior Manager RMAP approval, and EDD where required.

### 15. Secondary or Recycled Material

During the reporting period, PT CPM did not receive secondary, recycled, scrap, or reclaimed tin-bearing material as smelting input. If PT CPM changes its sourcing model to include secondary or recycled tin-bearing material, the Company will review and update its policy, SOPs, due diligence procedures, training, source determination, plausibility assessment, and mass balance controls before accepting such material.

### 16. Due Diligence Activity Summary

| Due Diligence Area  | Status      | Public Summary                                                 |
|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Supply Chain Policy | Implemented | PT CPM has adopted a Responsible Minerals Supply Chain Policy. |
| CAHRA Assessment    | Completed   | Active sources were                                            |

|                         |              |                                                                           |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                         |              | assessed using internal CAHRA methodology.                                |
| Origin Mapping          | Completed    | Origin and transit mapping conducted for active sources.                  |
| Red Flag Review         | Completed    | Red flag review conducted for active sources and relevant counterparties. |
| Plausibility Assessment | Completed    | Volume, grade, capacity, and chain-of-custody plausibility reviewed.      |
| KYC/KYS                 | Completed    | Relevant counterparties reviewed for identity, legality, and ownership.   |
| EDD                     | Not Required | EDD conducted where high-risk or red flag conditions were identified.     |
| RMP                     | Not Required | Mitigation actions tracked where applicable.                              |
| Grievance/WBS           | Implemented  | Mechanism available for responsible sourcing concerns.                    |
| Management Review       | Implemented  | Due diligence performance reviewed by management.                         |

## 17. CAHRA and Source Risk Summary

| Assessment ID          | Source                          | CAHRA Status | Source Risk Level | Red Flag | EDD Required | Final Status |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| RPT-RMAP-RISK-2026-001 | IUP No<br>81200121122<br>260010 | Non-CAHRA    | Low               | No       | No           | Approved     |
| RPT-RMAP-RISK-2026-002 | IUP No<br>81200121122<br>260014 | Non-CAHRA    | Low               | No       | No           | Approved     |
| RPT-RMAP-RISK-2026-003 | IUP No<br>81200121122<br>260011 | Non-CAHRA    | Low               | No       | No           | Approved     |

|                        |         |           |     |    |    |          |
|------------------------|---------|-----------|-----|----|----|----------|
| RPT-RMAP-RISK-2026-004 | Auction | Non-CAHRA | Low | No | No | Approved |
|------------------------|---------|-----------|-----|----|----|----------|

## 18. Red Flag, EDD, and RMP Summary

| Risk / Red Flag Area      | Identified? | EDD Conducted? | Mitigation Status |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|
| CAHRA origin / transit    | No          | No             | NA                |
| Document inconsistency    | No          | No             | NA                |
| Volume anomaly            | No          | No             | NA                |
| Illegal mining indication | No          | No             | NA                |
| Sanctions / AML           | No          | No             | NA                |
| Human rights concern      | No          | No             | NA                |
| Security force risk       | No          | No             | NA                |
| Bribery / corruption      | No          | No             | NA                |

## 19. Corrective Action and Continual Improvement

During the reporting period, PT CPM identified improvement opportunities in its responsible sourcing management system and implemented corrective or improvement actions. Where corrective actions remain open, PT CPM tracks the actions through the Risk Management Plan or CAP monitoring log with assigned responsible persons, due dates, required evidence, and closure criteria.

- a. Formalizing responsible minerals policies and SOPs;
- b. Strengthening CAHRA methodology and source risk assessment;
- c. Improving traceability and audit trail documentation;
- d. Enhancing KYC/KYS and beneficial ownership review;
- e. Improving red flag review and discrepancy investigation;
- f. Strengthening plausibility assessment and material reconciliation;
- g. Developing EDD and RMP templates;
- h. Linking grievance/WBS outcomes to RMAP risk review;
- i. Strengthening internal communication, management review, and public reporting.

## 20. Training and Communication

PT CPM communicates responsible sourcing requirements to relevant internal functions and counterparties. Training and communication records, attendance lists, acknowledgement records, and related evidence are maintained as part of PT CPM RMAP evidence file.

| Training / Communication Topic           | Target Audience                                                        | Evidence                                           |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Responsible Minerals Supply Chain Policy | Internal functions, KSO, transport vendors, relevant counterparties    | Attendance, acknowledgement, communication record. |
| SOP CAHRA and Source Risk                | RMAP team, Mining, Legal, Logistics, Production, Finance, HSE/Security | Training deck, quiz, attendance, evidence index.   |
| Red Flag, EDD, RMP                       | RMAP team and relevant functional leads                                | Case examples, forms, reviewer records.            |
| Grievance / WBS and Non-Retaliation      | Internal personnel and relevant vendors                                | Awareness record, channel communication, evidence. |

## 21. Management Review

PT CPM conducts management review of the RMAP due diligence system at least annually and when significant events occur. Management review outputs include decisions, improvement actions, responsible persons, target dates, and required evidence.

- a. CAHRA assessment results;
- b. Red flag review results;
- c. EDD and RMP status;
- d. CAP status;
- e. Grievance and WBS cases;
- f. KYC/KYS results;
- g. Plausibility and mass balance findings;
- h. Discrepancy investigation results;
- i. Supplier and contractor performance;
- j. Training and communication effectiveness;
- k. Internal and external audit findings;
- l. Regulatory or standard changes;
- m. Public reporting commitments.

## 22. Public Reporting and Confidentiality

PT CPM is committed to reporting publicly on its responsible sourcing due diligence activities. This report does not disclose commercially sensitive information, confidential contracts, personal data, security-sensitive information, legally restricted information, detailed site-security data, or confidential grievance and whistleblowing information.

PT CPM does not make an absolute guarantee that all risks are absent. Instead, PT CPM reports on the due diligence process, evidence reviewed, risks identified, and actions taken based on available information. Suggested publication wording:

"Based on the due diligence activities conducted and the evidence available during the reporting period,

PT CPM did not identify confirmed OECD Annex II risks requiring disengagement, unless otherwise stated in this report."

### 23. Limitations and Forward-Looking Improvements

Responsible sourcing due diligence is a continual improvement process. For the next reporting period, PT CPM intends to strengthen integration between Assessment Report No, red flag review, EDD, RMP, evidence index, management review, and public reporting.

- Source-level CAHRA assessment for each IUP or distinct material source;
- Evidence indexing and audit trail retrieval;
- Supplier and contractor acknowledgement of responsible sourcing requirements;
- Data reconciliation among dispatch, receiving, stockpile, production, and mass balance records;
- EITI-related transparency data readiness where applicable;
- Public reporting clarity and consistency;
- Periodic review of policy and SOP effectiveness.

### 24. Approval

| Role        | Name                    | Position                                | Signature / Approval                                                                 | Date           |
|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Prepared by | Muhammad Abdi Baihaqi   | RMAP Coordinator / Responsible Person   |  | 21 August 2026 |
| Reviewed by | Robin                   | Senior Manager RMAP                     |  | 21 August 2026 |
| Approved by | Darwis Leonardo Fensury | Director / Authorized Senior Management |  | 21 August 2026 |

### 25. Contact and Grievance Channel

Stakeholders may raise concerns related to PT CPM responsible minerals supply chain through the Company designated grievance or whistleblowing channel. All reports will be reviewed in accordance with PT CPM grievance, whistleblowing, and responsible sourcing procedures.

| Item                         | Information                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Company                      | PT Cipta Persada Mulia                                                                                                      |
| Responsible Sourcing Contact | Corporate@ciptapersadamulia.co.id                                                                                           |
| Grievance / WBS Channel      | <a href="https://ciptapersadamulia.co.id/whistleblowing-system/">https://ciptapersadamulia.co.id/whistleblowing-system/</a> |
| Website                      | <a href="https://ciptapersadamulia.co.id/">https://ciptapersadamulia.co.id/</a>                                             |
| Address                      | Jl. Brigjen Katamso km 6 No 9 RT 005 / RW 001<br>Tanjung Uncang, Kecamatan Batu Aji                                         |